

Exercises

Exercise 1 Prove Theorem 2.2. (Hint: write the FOC of the problem and differentiate its right-hand side with respect to α . Then, show that the resulting expression is decreasing in α).

Exercise 2 Consider a random payoff $x = (h, -h)'$ whose uncertainty is thus resolved at two different states of nature with $h > 0$. For a given level of wealth Y , denote by $\pi(Y, h)$ the probability at state 1 that would make an investor with utility u indifferent between purchasing or not x at no charge. That is, $\pi(Y, h)$ is the probability for which it holds that

$$u(Y) = \pi(Y, h) u(Y + h) + [1 - \pi(Y, h)] u(Y - h).$$

Use a second-order Taylor approximation of $u(Y + h)$ and $u(Y - h)$ around $h = 0$ to show that

$$\pi(Y, h) - \frac{1}{2} \simeq \frac{1}{4} h \mathcal{A}(Y).$$

Exercise 3 As in the lecture notes, let $A = \mathbf{E}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{E}$, $B = \mathbf{E}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{1}$ and $C = \mathbf{1}'\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{1}$, where Σ is the covariance matrix of the basis returns and \mathbf{E} is a vector with their corresponding excess returns. Show that a frontier return R is efficient (in the sense that there does not exist another portfolio with a higher mean but the same variance) if its expected return $E(R) > \frac{B}{C}$.

Exercise 4 Let R^a be a mean-variance return and let R^b be any other return which has the same mean as R^a . Show that $\text{cov}(R^a, R^b) = \text{Var}(R^a)$.

Exercise 5 Prove the following statements:

- a. In the expected return-variance space, the line passing between the mean-variance R^a and the global minimum variance portfolio intersects the expected return axis at $E(R^{z^a})$. (hint: in mean-variance space find the expression for the intercept of the line connecting R^a and the global minimum variance return; then apply Lemma 2.7).
- b. In the return-standard deviation space, the tangent to the mean-variance frontier at R^a intersects the expected return axis at $E(R^{z^a})$ (hint: find the slope of the function that gives the standard deviation as a function of the mean for mean-variance returns and the slope of the above tangent and set them equal. Then factor out the value of the intercept of the tangent and apply Lemma 2.7).
- c. If there is a risk-free asset any two returns in the mean-variance frontier are perfectly correlated.

Exercise 6 Prove Lemma 2.7 (hint: it will help to look at the arguments of the proof of Theorem 2.10).

Exercise 7 Show the following results that involve the HARA class of utility functions:

a. The utility function (negative exponential utility)

$$u(c) = -\exp(-Ac)$$

displays constant absolute risk aversion equal to A .

b. The utility function (power utility)

$$u(c) = \frac{c^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad \gamma > 0 \quad (1)$$

displays constant relative risk aversion equal to γ .

c. The elasticity of intertemporal substitution is defined as

$$-\frac{IMRS}{c_1/c_0} \frac{\partial(c_1/c_0)}{\partial IMRS}.$$

Show that (1) displays constant elasticity of intertemporal substitution equal to $1/\gamma$.

Exercise 8 Consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_w E[-\exp(-Aw'\mathbf{R})] \\ \text{s.t. } w'\mathbf{R} = 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and the set

$$\underline{R}_e = \{R_e : R_e \in \underline{R} \text{ and } R_e \text{ solves (2) for some } A \in \mathfrak{R}\}.$$

Assume there is a risk-free asset and the problem has an interior solution for $A = 1$.

a. Show that a return $R_e \in \underline{R}_e$ if and only if it is of the form

$$R_e = \alpha R^f + (1 - \alpha) R_e^*$$

where R_e^* is the return that solves (2) for $A = 1$.

b. Show that if R_e belongs to both \underline{R} and \underline{R}_e , then

$$E(R) - R^f = \frac{\text{cov}[\exp(-AR_e), R]}{\text{cov}[\exp(-AR_e), R_e]} [E(R_e) - R^f], \quad \forall R \in \underline{R}$$

for some $A \neq 0$.

- c. Consider a two-period economy where agents indicate their preferences with time-additive total utility and period utility of the form

$$u^k(c) = -\exp(-A_k c), \quad k = 1, \dots, K.$$

Show that in a financial equilibrium it must hold that

$$m_k = \frac{\exp(-R_e^*)}{E[\exp(-R_e^*)] R^f}, \quad k = 1, \dots, K.$$

where m_k denotes agent k 's IMRS.

- d. Show that in the above economy the same equilibrium prices are attained by means of a representative investor who owns the economy's total wealth and whose period utility function is given by

$$u(c) = -\exp(-A_m^{-1}c)$$

where $A_m \equiv \sum_{k=1}^K A_k^{-1}$.

Exercise 9 Prove Theorem 2.21 (hint: use similar arguments to the ones in the proof of Theorem 1.6).

Exercise 10 Let \underline{X} be the set of attainable payoffs spanned by a set of basis assets with strictly positive price which contains a risk-free asset.

- a. Show that if the canonical portfolio problem with negative exponential utility has a solution for some given initial wealth Y_0 , then there exists a payoff x_f^* such that

$$E[\exp(-x_f^*) x] = p(x) \quad \forall x \in \underline{X}.$$

- b. Show that if x_f^* exists, then

$$-E(m \ln m) \geq E[\exp(-x_f^*) x_f^*]$$

for all SDF m .

Remark 11 Stutzer (1995) uses this result in order to develop what he calls information bound on the set of SDF's. This is a diagnostic tool for testing asset pricing models in the lines of Hansen and Jagannathan bounds.

Exercise 12 Consider the model

$$m = b'f$$

where $b = [b_1, \dots, b_J]'$ is a vector of constants and $f = [f_1, \dots, f_J]'$ is a vector of J factors. For every $j = 1, \dots, J$ define

$$\hat{f}_j \equiv \text{proj}(f_j \mid \underline{X}) = \hat{X}' E[\hat{X} \hat{X}']^{-1} E[\hat{X} f_j]$$

where \widehat{X} is the payoff matrix that does not include redundant payoffs, that is, it is a matrix that contains a subset of linearly independent payoffs that span the set of attainable payoffs \underline{X} . Thus, \widehat{f}_j is the projection of factor f_j onto the space \underline{X} . Show that the vector $\widehat{f} = [\widehat{f}_1, \dots, \widehat{f}_J]'$ carries the same pricing implications as f in the sense that if

$$E(mx) = p(x), \quad \forall x \in \underline{X}$$

then

$$E(\widehat{m}x) = p(x), \quad \forall x \in \underline{X}$$

where $\widehat{m} = b'\widehat{f}$.

Remark 13 These factors are called “factor-mimicking portfolios” because they mimic the behavior of the original factors f . These constructs rely on the same idea that gives x^* : we project m onto \underline{X} to find x^* which prices payoffs as any other stochastic discount factor. We obtain the factor-mimicking portfolios by projecting each individual factor onto the set of attainable payoffs and we find a factor model with payoffs as factors which carries all the pricing implications of the initial sdf. Once the underlying macroeconomic factors f that contain the sources of risk are detected, it is usually a good idea to find their mimicking portfolios \widehat{f} . This is because high-frequency data on \widehat{f} free of measurement errors is easily available even on a minute-by-minute basis (recall they are themselves payoffs) which is not at all the case of the original factors f .